

# Recent Simulation Tune-Ups

Ben jones, MIT

# LArG4 Speed Issues

- A current priority for the simulation is to get the memory usage and time requirements down.
- LArG4 is the slowest part of the simulation chain for most events. Lots of work has been done to reduce its memory consumption and time requirements.
- I made a few changes to increase the efficiency of geometry handling and improve simulation performance

# Int NearestChannel(double\* xyz)

## ***Function :***

Given a charge deposit somewhere in space, find the closest wire (called 10,000s of times per job)

## ***Previous implementation Summary :***

Scan through all wire objects loaded in geometry and calculate distance from each. Return the shortest

## ***New implementation Summary :***

Calculate the projection in the pitch direction, and given a knowledge of the wire pitch and first wire position, get the wire number

## A couple of implementation details

- All variables from geometry are looked up only on the first call. As many calculations as possible are performed once only.
- As well as accepting the position of the deposit, the function also now accepts the plane and tpc ID and finds the nearest channel in that plane
- The output is range checked for invalid wire numbers, which generate an exception.
- Full wire number calculation can be performed in one line of code using all precalculated quantities

# ChannelToWire and PlaneWireToChannel

## ***Function :***

Convert {wire ID, plane ID, TPC ID} to / from channel ID

## ***Previous implementation Summary :***

Scan through all wire objects loaded in geometry and find the one which matches one labelling specification. Return the other

## ***New implementation Summary :***

Assume wires are labeled hierarchically (counting up from 0, tpc1 { plane1, plane 2...} tp2{ plane 1, plane 2...} etc.

Calculate coordinates using statically stored boundaries between each plane

# New geometry methods

- For all described so far, we assume uniformly spaced tpc wires in each plane with heirarchical numbering.
- Might want to relax this in some weird future experiment so I also left the old brute force methods in place.
- The new methods are named  
***Geometry::NearestChannelFast,***  
***Geometry::PlaneWireToChannelFast,***  
***Geometry::ChannelToWireFast***

# LArVoxelReadout

## ***Function :***

Perform charge drift simulation for each charge deposited in LArG4

## ***Previous implementation Summary :***

Calculate charge diffusion, losses etc for each electron cluster and deposit on nearest wires in each plane

## ***New implementation Summary :***

General streamlining (calculating everything in the outermost for loop possible, statically store repeated results, etc) and modify to work with new geometry lookups

# sim::SimChannel

## ***Function :***

Keep record of charge clusters drifted to each wire

## ***Previous implementation Summary :***

Store a vector of IDE objects recording the charges accepted for each tick and for each channel

## ***New implementation Summary :***

Mostly the same, but all charge passed to wires at end of job to reduce time spent looking up the right channel / time bin per cluster, and general code streamlining



# GDML Geometry

- Within LArSoft, the geometry object given to LArG4 is distinct from that used to determine wire geometry for drift simulation – one comes from a root file and one from a gdml file (though origin is shared)
- It is not necessary for LArG4 to know about the wires at all – put in switch to allow us to feed LArG4 a wire-free geometry which greatly reduces overhead
- On Brians request, removed option to have both wired and wire free gdml available in the job. I am not sure which way I feel about this yet.
- Need to add automatic wireless-geometry building to geometry scripts (is Adam in this meeting?)

# Validation

- Ran GeometryTest.cxx with new wire lookups and new geometry specification – works exactly as before and passes all validations
- Ran Brians MC cheater and found a 100% purity and efficiency of hits produced, given the MC charge deposits
- Cross checked all histograms from FFTHitAna between old code and new code, and all look identical (didn't include them here because I don't know what they all mean)
- If I have missed something and your simulation is weird, let me know.

# MCCheater Output

# ArgoNeuT Geometry

- Saima reported a warning message thrown by NearestChannelFast
- Apparently a known bug in ArgoNeuT geometry due to a gap in the wireplane – old method ignored the problem and double counted charge on outer wires.
- New method sees absence of wire coverage in TPC volume and declares an exception
- Is this warning message useful? Should drift to no wire region be allowed or not?

# Performance enhancement:

- Running prod\_single.fcl for uboone  
(note: not prod\_single\_uboone.fcl)

## Old Code:

TimeReport> Time report  
complete in 1422.83 seconds  
Time Summary:  
Min: 113.322  
Max: 296.957  
Avg: 142.283

Rest of modules take a fairly  
constant 8 seconds

**Old LArG4 speed** : 134 s / event  
(approx 105s/event after first)

## New Code:

TimeReport> Time report  
complete in 247.019 seconds  
Time Summary:  
Min: 18.3711  
Max: 30.4003  
Avg: 24.7019

**New LArG4 speed**: 16 s / event  
(approx 11s/event after first)